

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/509,776	Applicant(s) OGA, TAKAYUKI	
	Examiner Victor K. Hwang	Art Unit 3764	

All Participants:

(1) Victor K. Hwang.

(2) Leighton K. Chong.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 8 March 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Cary E. O'Connor
Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization for Examiner's amendment to clarify that the path of the chair and that the sliding members are upwardly biased by the lifting mechanism; to correct typographical errors in the claims and specification; and agreement to correct typographical errors in the drawings..